### OsmoPCU - Bug #4111

**BSSGP SUSPEND ACK with unknown BVCI=0**

07/17/2019 01:03 PM - fixeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Resolved</th>
<th>Start date:</th>
<th>07/17/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Due date:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>fixeria</td>
<td>% Done:</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec Reference:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

When a GPRS-attached MS initiates a circuit-switched service (e.g. SS/USSD or SMS), OsmoSGSN logs the following:

```
DBSSGP NOTICE gprs_bssgp.c:556 BSSGP BVCI=0 Rx BVC STATUS, cause=Unknown BVCI
DBSSGP ERROR gprs_bssgp.c:563 BSSGP BVCI=0 Rx STATUS cause=Unknown BVCI missing conditional BVCI I E
```

As far as I understand, OsmoPCU sends BSSGP SUSPEND (BVCI=0) to OsmoSGSN, and gets BSSGP SUSPEND ACK (BVCI=0) from it. So then OsmoPCU realizes that BVCI=0 is now known for some reason, and sends BSSGP STATUS (BVCI=0) with cause "BVCI unknown (5)".

Please see an attached capture. OsmoSGSN is bound to 127.0.0.10:23000, OsmoPCU is at 127.0.0.1:23000.

**Related issues:**

- Related to OsmoPCU - Bug #2384: NSVCI=0 seems to cause problems
- Related to OsmoSGSN - Bug #4245: osmo-sgsn hitting assert during osmo-gsm-tes...

**History**

#### #1 - 07/17/2019 01:04 PM - fixeria

- Project changed from Cellular Network Infrastructure to OsmoPCU
- Subject changed from GPRS Suspension Request with unknown BVCI to BSSGP SUSPEND ACK with unknown BVCI=0

#### #2 - 07/17/2019 01:30 PM - laforge

BVCI=0 is the signaling BVCI, which always exists. This is different from the p-t-p BVCIs which are explicitly brought up by UNBLOCK procedures and the like.

So claiming that BVCI=0 is unknown is wrong in any case. It is perpetual.

#### #3 - 11/07/2019 09:22 PM - fixeria

- Status changed from New to Feedback
- Assignee set to fixeria
- % Done changed from 0 to 90

Please see:

- [https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-pcu/+/16006](https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-pcu/+/16006) BSSGP: do not reject SUSPEND ACK / NACK messages
- [https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-pcu/+/16007](https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-pcu/+/16007) BSSGP: properly print BVCI for signalling messages (BVCI=0)

#### #4 - 11/07/2019 09:58 PM - fixeria

- Related to Bug #2384: NSVCI=0 seems to cause problems added

#### #5 - 11/08/2019 03:47 PM - fixeria

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved
- % Done changed from 90 to 100

Merged.
Related to Bug #4245: osmo-sgsn hitting assert during osmo-gsm-tester ping.py test added
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