

## OsmoMSC - Bug #3601

### OsmoMSC's GSUP client doesn't send CN domain to the HLR

09/28/2018 12:36 AM - neels

<b>Status:</b> Resolved	<b>Start date:</b> 09/28/2018
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assignee:</b> neels	<b>% Done:</b> 100%
<b>Category:</b>	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Resolution:</b>	<b>Spec Reference:</b>
<b>Description</b> hence all osmo-msc clients are seen as PS clients. That will lead to client identifications being stored in gsgn_number instead of vlr_number.	
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to OsmoHLR - Bug #2796: OsmoHLR doesn't update VLR during UpdateLocation	<b>Resolved</b> <b>12/30/2017</b>
Related to OsmoHLR - Bug #2785: OsmoHLR forgets to send InsertSubscriberData ...	<b>Resolved</b> <b>12/28/2017</b>

#### History

##### #1 - 09/28/2018 12:37 AM - neels

- Related to Bug #2796: OsmoHLR doesn't update VLR during UpdateLocation added

##### #2 - 09/28/2018 12:38 AM - neels

- Related to Bug #2785: OsmoHLR forgets to send InsertSubscriberData to VLR/SGSN when data changes added

##### #3 - 09/28/2018 08:00 AM - laforge

It **may** be the case that in classic GSM the CN domain indicator is optional, as CS existed before PS. So in absence of the indicator, as HLR I would always assume it's CS.

But sure, we can make it explicit frm the MSC side, I don't really care too much.

##### #4 - 10/02/2018 06:53 PM - neels

- Description updated

##### #5 - 10/02/2018 06:55 PM - neels

laforge wrote:

It **may** be the case that in classic GSM the CN domain indicator is optional, as CS existed before PS. So in absence of the indicator, as HLR I would always assume it's CS.

In GSUP we explicitly specify that a lack of CN domain indicator means PS... probably from the time it still was the GPRS Subscriber Update Protocol.

##### #6 - 10/08/2018 10:14 PM - neels

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

<https://gerrit.osmocom.org/11244> merged